

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

Analysis of statistics 2018

January 2019

Table of Contents

Overview of the Court’s statistics in 2018	4
A. New applications	4
B. Applications disposed of judicially and pending applications	4
C. Processing of applications	4
1. Pre-allocation.....	4
2. Inadmissibility or strike out decisions	4
3. Communicated applications	4
4. Judgments.....	5
5. Requests for interim measures (Rule 39 of the Rules of Court).....	5
D. Priority policy	5
E. Conclusion.....	5
General information	6
Number of applications allocated to a judicial formation.....	7
Processing of applications	9
Country-specific information	14
Albania	14
Andorra	15
Armenia	16
Austria.....	17
Azerbaijan	18
Belgium	19
Bosnia and Herzegovina.....	20
Bulgaria	21
Croatia.....	22
Cyprus	23
Czech Republic.....	24
Denmark	25
Estonia	26
Finland	27
France	28
Georgia.....	29
Germany	30
Greece.....	31
Hungary.....	32
Iceland.....	33
Ireland.....	34
Italy	35
Latvia.....	36
Liechtenstein.....	37
Lithuania	38
Luxembourg	39

Malta	40
Republic of Moldova	41
Monaco	42
Montenegro	43
Netherlands	44
Norway	45
Poland	46
Portugal	47
Romania	48
Russian Federation	49
San Marino	50
Serbia	51
Slovakia	52
Slovenia	53
Spain	54
Sweden	55
Switzerland	56
“The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia”	57
Turkey	58
Ukraine	59
United Kingdom	60

Overview of the Court's statistics in 2018

Contrary to last two years the Court's statistics for 2018 disclose a lower number of incoming applications allocated to a judicial formation. This can be explained principally by a decrease in the number of applications allocated coming from Turkey, Romania and Hungary.

In 2017 the productivity had increased spectacularly mainly due to large groups of applications that were adjudicated in particular concerning a few countries. In 2018 the productivity was almost as high as the number of allocated applications. As a result the number of pending applications is stable.

A. New applications

In 2018 43,100 applications were allocated to a judicial formation, an overall decrease of 32% compared with 2017 (63,350). 29,300 of these were identified as Single-Judge cases likely to be declared inadmissible (a decrease of 41% in relation to 2017). Single judge applications continue to be processed as soon as they are identified as qualifying for such a procedure. 13,800 applications were identified as probable Chamber or Committee cases (a decrease of 1%).

B. Applications disposed of judicially and pending applications

42,761 applications were disposed of judicially, a decrease of 50% in relation to 2017 (85,951). The number of cases allocated exceeded those disposed of by little more than 300. As a result, the stock of allocated applications pending before the Court was stable over the year, from 56,250 to 56,350.

C. Processing of applications

1. Pre-allocation

As at 31 December 2018 the number of applications pending at the pre-judicial stage stood at 9,750, a decrease of 23% in relation to the same date the previous year (12,600). The number of applications disposed of administratively in 2018 was 19,550, a decrease of 14%. 60% of these files were disposed of for failure to comply with the requirements of Rule 47 of the Rules of Court (contents of an individual application).

2. Inadmissibility or strike out decisions

In 2018 40,023 applications were declared inadmissible or struck out of the list of cases by a Single Judge, a Committee, a Chamber or a Grand Chamber, a 43% decrease compared with 2017 (70,356).

The Single-Judge formation decided 33,181 applications in 2018, a decrease of 50% compared with 2017 (66,156).

In 2018, 3,048 applications were struck out by a Chamber or a Committee, in a decision, following a friendly settlement or a unilateral declaration, an increase of 34% (2,282 in 2017). Friendly settlements (2,184) increased by 43% (1,529 in 2017) and unilateral declarations increased by 15% (866¹ compared to 753 in 2017). 3,794 applications were declared inadmissible by a Grand Chamber, Chamber or a Committee or struck out by these formations on other grounds.

3. Communicated applications

In 2018 7,644 applications were communicated (an increase of 6% compared to 2017 when 7,225 applications were communicated).

¹ Two applications were struck out after a unilateral declaration in the form of a judgment in 2018.

4. Judgments

Judgments were delivered in respect of 2,738 applications (compared with 15,595 in 2017 – a decrease of 82%)². A large proportion of these applications were joined, with the result that the number of judgments actually delivered was 1,014, a decrease of 5%. 537 judgments (in respect of 2,000 applications) were adopted by a three-judge Committee formation (+ 3% compared to 2017). Committee judgments accounted for 73% of the applications decided by a judgment (17% in 2017).

5. Requests for interim measures (Rule 39 of the Rules of Court)

The total number of decisions on interim measures (1,540) decreased by 8% compared with 2017 (1,683). The Court granted requests for interim measures in 143 cases (an increase of 22% compared to 117 in 2017) and dismissed them in 486 cases (9% less than the 533 in 2017). The remainder fell outside the scope of Rule 39 of the Rules of Court. 59% of the requests granted concerned expulsion or immigration cases.

D. Priority policy³

The essence of this policy is to concentrate more resources on the most important cases, namely the cases falling within the top three categories (“priority applications”). At 31 December 2018 there were 20,613 applications in these categories (an increase of 15% compared to the beginning of the year). This increase can be explained mainly by the higher number of applications allocated concerning conditions of detention in Russia and the lawfulness of detentions in Turkey.

The number of priority applications dealt with at different stages of the procedure in 2018 increased by 45% compared to 2017 (4,594 in 2017 and 6,652 in 2018). This can be explained by an increase in the number of applications processed against Russian, Romania and Hungary concerning conditions of detention and against Turkey concerning unlawful detention and killing by security forces.

12% more priority applications were communicated to the respondent Governments in comparison with 2017 (2,555 in 2017 and 2,871 in 2018). The number of priority applications declared inadmissible or struck out of the list of cases by a Chamber or Committee increased by 160% (905 in 2017 and 2,357 in 2018). The number of priority applications struck out following a friendly settlement or a unilateral declaration increased from 479 in 2017 to 1,051 in 2018. The number of priority applications giving rise to a judgment increased by 26% in 2018 (1,134 in 2017 and 1,424 in 2018).

E. Conclusion

If 2017 figures were exceptional due to cases being repatriated to the national system in one form or another (non-exhaustion of a new domestic remedy or strike out in the context of an on-going pilot procedure). 2018 has continued to see an influx of priority applications. The challenge of reducing the backlog of non-repetitive Chamber cases/priority cases remains. In this context the Court and its Registry continue to test and implement new working methods and procedures intended to streamline processing of this part of its case-load. In particular after almost 60 years of Court judgments, there is well-established case-law in many fields and as a consequence more applications can and will be adjudicated by Committees.

² This difference in percentage is explained by the fact that in 2017 the Grand Chamber struck out 12,148 applications in a single judgment.

³ An explanation of the Court’s priority policy can be found on the Court’s internet site http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Priority_policy_ENG.pdf

General information

Table 1 General statistical information

1. Applications allocated to a judicial formation [round figures (50)]	2018	2017	+/-
Applications allocated	43100	63350	-32%

2. Interim procedural events	2018	2017	+/-
Applications communicated to respondent Government	7644	7225	6%

3. Applications decided	2018	2017	+/-
By decision or judgment	42761	85951	-50%
- by judgment delivered	2738	15595	-82%
- by decision (inadmissible or struck out)	40023	70356	-43%

4. Pending applications [round figures (50)]	31/12/2018	1/1/2018	+/-
Applications pending before a judicial formation	56350	56250	0%
- Chamber and Grand Chamber	22250	26250	-15%
- Committee	29350	25700	14%
- Single-Judge formation	4750	4300	10%

5. Pre-judicial applications [round figures (50)]	31/12/2018	1/1/2018	+/-
Applications at a pre-judicial stage	9750	12600	-23%
	2018	2017	+/-
Applications disposed of administratively	19550	22650	-14%

Number of applications allocated to a judicial formation⁴

Chart 1 Applications allocated to a judicial formation per year





Chart 2 Applications pending before a judicial formation



⁴ Figures represent the total number of applications, including joined applications. The document generally gives round figures.

Chart 3 High case-count States






Chart 4 The Court’s total caseload by stage of proceedings and judicial formation.⁵



⁵ The “Pending Government action” category was created to monitor applications following a judgment applying the pilot procedure.

Chart 5 The Court’s total caseload by priority category



Processing of applications

Chart 6 Major procedural steps in processing of applications




Chart 7 Number of judgments since 2004




Chart 8 Applications in categories I, II and III processed from 2015 to 2018




Table 2 Applications allocated per Contracting State and population

State	Applications allocated to a judicial formation				Population (1000)				Allocated/population (10000)			
	2015	2016	2017	2018	1.1.2015	1.1.2016	1.1.2017	1.1.2018	2015	2016	2017	2018
Albania	147	146	95	99	2892	2886	2886	2870	0,51	0,51	0,33	0,34
Andorra	6	4	2	3	76	72	73	72	0,79	0,56	0,27	0,42
Armenia	122	753	356	167	3017	2999	2986	2973	0,40	2,51	1,19	0,56
Austria	261	236	228	239	8576	8690	8773	8822	0,30	0,27	0,26	0,27
Azerbaijan	268	331	679	313	9593	9706	9810	9898	0,28	0,34	0,69	0,32
Belgium	202	184	153	177	11209	11311	11366	11413	0,18	0,16	0,13	0,16
Bosnia and Herzegovina	908	1030	866	898	3825	3516	3510	3502	2,37	2,93	2,47	2,56
Bulgaria	1031	882	582	774	7202	7154	7102	7050	1,43	1,23	0,82	1,10
Croatia	812	764	723	669	4225	4191	4154	4105	1,92	1,82	1,74	1,63
Cyprus	32	33	31	52	847	848	855	864	0,38	0,39	0,36	0,60
Czech Republic	340	338	385	349	10538	10554	10579	10610	0,32	0,32	0,36	0,33
Denmark	44	47	58	37	5660	5707	5749	5781	0,08	0,08	0,10	0,06
Estonia	190	206	156	132	1313	1316	1316	1319	1,45	1,57	1,19	1,00
Finland	177	196	181	174	5472	5487	5503	5513	0,32	0,36	0,33	0,32
France	1087	916	887	871	66415	66760	67024	67222	0,16	0,14	0,13	0,13
Georgia	80	74	89	99	4490	3720	3718	3730	0,18	0,20	0,24	0,27
Germany	789	676	586	489	81198	82176	82800	82850	0,10	0,08	0,07	0,06
Greece	457	337	422	420	10858	10784	10757	10739	0,42	0,31	0,39	0,39
Hungary	4234	5568	1952	902	9856	9830	9798	9778	4,30	5,66	1,99	0,92
Iceland	10	24	27	24	329	333	338	348	0,30	0,72	0,80	0,69
Ireland	18	26	54	30	4629	4725	4775	4838	0,04	0,06	0,11	0,06
Italy	1885	1409	1374	1692	60796	60666	60589	60484	0,31	0,23	0,23	0,28
Latvia	244	255	275	259	1986	1969	1950	1934	1,23	1,30	1,41	1,34
Liechtenstein	13	10	9	9	37	38	38	38	3,51	2,63	2,37	2,37
Lithuania	376	405	401	438	2921	2889	2848	2809	1,29	1,40	1,41	1,56
Luxembourg	22	38	38	35	563	576	591	602	0,39	0,66	0,64	0,58
Malta	24	25	22	30	429	434	440	476	0,56	0,58	0,50	0,63
Republic of Moldova	1011	834	758	814	3555	3553	3553	3547	2,84	2,35	2,13	2,29
Monaco	9	6	7	5	38	38	38	38	2,37	1,58	1,84	1,32
Montenegro	129	165	138	318	622	622	622	622	2,07	2,65	2,22	5,11
Netherlands	495	494	532	429	16901	16979	17082	17181	0,29	0,29	0,31	0,25
Norway	73	90	123	84	5166	5214	5258	5296	0,14	0,17	0,23	0,16
Poland	2178	2422	2066	1941	38006	37967	37973	37977	0,57	0,64	0,54	0,51
Portugal	233	152	197	149	10375	10341	10310	10291	0,22	0,15	0,19	0,14
Romania	4604	8192	6509	3369	19871	19760	19638	19524	2,32	4,15	3,31	1,73
Russia	6003	5587	7957	12148	143667	143667	143667	143667	0,42	0,39	0,55	0,85
San Marino	4	13	11	4	33	33	33	33	1,21	3,94	3,33	1,21
Serbia	1235	1330	1431	2128	7114	7076	7040	7001	1,74	1,88	2,03	3,04
Slovakia	353	309	425	390	5421	5426	5435	5443	0,65	0,57	0,78	0,72
Slovenia	213	239	374	274	2063	2064	2066	2067	1,03	1,16	1,81	1,33
Spain	552	627	669	592	46450	46440	46529	46659	0,12	0,14	0,14	0,13
Sweden	212	138	150	194	9747	9851	9995	10120	0,22	0,14	0,15	0,19
Switzerland	318	258	266	272	8238	8327	8418	8482	0,39	0,31	0,32	0,32
"The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"	362	339	345	305	2069	2071	2074	2075	1,75	1,64	1,66	1,47
Turkey	2212	8303	25978	6717	77696	78741	79815	80810	0,28	1,05	3,25	0,83
Ukraine	6007	8644	4387	3207	45246	45246	45246	45246	1,33	1,91	0,97	0,71
United Kingdom	575	372	415	354	64875	65383	65809	66238	0,09	0,06	0,06	0,05
Total	40557	53427	63369	43075	826105	828136	830929	832957	0,49	0,65	0,76	0,52

SOURCES 2014 and 2018: Internet sites of United Nations Statistics Division and Eurostat service ("Population and social conditions")

The Council of Europe member States had a combined population of about 833 million inhabitants on 1 January 2018. The average number of applications allocated per 10,000 inhabitants was 0.52 in 2018.

Table 3 The Court's total caseload by stage of proceedings and judicial formation

State	Single Judge or Committee (cat. VI - VII)	Chamber or Committee - awaiting first examination (cat. I - V)	Communicated	Pending Government action	Admissible	TOTAL
Albania	12	354	205	0	1	572
Andorra	1	0	1	0	0	2
Armenia	57	1670	166	0	8	1901
Austria	17	21	23	0	1	62
Azerbaijan	5	1428	598	0	19	2050
Belgium	29	215	60	0	5	309
Bosnia and Herzegovina	102	299	387	0	60	848
Bulgaria	54	356	98	0	6	514
Croatia	85	188	253	0	5	531
Cyprus	16	26	11	0	1	54
Czech Republic	26	31	43	0	0	100
Denmark	0	9	3	0	2	14
Estonia	16	20	21	0	11	68
Finland	10	2	8	0	0	20
France	75	194	142	0	23	434
Georgia	4	1738	126	0	5	1873
Germany	62	41	42	0	9	154
Greece	26	438	237	0	8	709
Hungary	76	270	588	0	31	965
Iceland	0	35	14	0	2	51
Ireland	2	13	6	0	0	21
Italy	151	2201	1674	13	12	4051
Latvia	52	89	37	0	1	179
Liechtenstein	1	0	0	0	0	1
Lithuania	43	57	70	0	15	185
Luxembourg	1	3	3	0	0	7
Malta	1	25	22	0	4	52
Republic of Moldova	84	740	270	95	15	1204
Monaco	1	1	1	0	0	3
Montenegro	73	14	30	0	2	119
Netherlands	83	65	31	0	0	179
Norway	21	20	7	0	1	49
Poland	251	828	236	0	8	1323
Portugal	13	94	49	0	2	158
Romania	220	650	1708	5917	8	8503
Russia	1212	5135	5235	11	152	11745
San Marino	1	0	7	0	2	10
Serbia	575	844	371	0	3	1793
Slovakia	26	85	39	0	6	156
Slovenia	36	26	23	0	3	88
Spain	155	33	75	0	16	279
Sweden	32	9	8	0	1	50
Switzerland	41	54	43	0	4	142
"The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"	35	195	106	0	3	339
Turkey	658	4797	1597	0	55	7107
Ukraine	247	6117	893	0	10	7267
United Kingdom	30	51	38	0	5	124
ALL STATES	4718	29481	15605	6036	525	56365


Table 4 Unilateral declarations and friendly settlements from 2016 to 2018

State	Unilateral declaration			Friendly settlement		
	2016	2017	2018	2016	2017	2018
Albania	1	2		10	2	
Andorra						
Armenia			1			
Austria				7	2	3
Azerbaijan			1	9	4	
Belgium	1		9		2	2
Bosnia and Herzegovina	3			4	5	6
Bulgaria	4	1	2	11	5	4
Croatia	2	2		11	5	6
Cyprus	1		1			
Czech Republic				2		1
Denmark						
Estonia				2	1	
Finland						
France	2		2	2	6	2
Georgia	1	7	5	4	1	1
Germany	2	1	4	4	1	
Greece	15	20	26	146	115	27
Hungary		2	10	98	83	456
Iceland						
Ireland		1				
Italy	811	17	273	279	36	243
Latvia		4		1	2	
Liechtenstein	1					
Lithuania		4	4	2	4	21
Luxembourg						
Malta	1	1	1		1	
Republic of Moldova	3		4	5	1	11
Monaco		1				
Montenegro	8	2	2	23	7	1
Netherlands	1		2	8	2	2
Norway						
Poland	12	170	124	27	347	278
Portugal	5			36	6	13
Romania	110	46	141	353	221	691
Russia	215	202	98	354	305	151
San Marino						
Serbia	3	1	2	5	32	33
Slovakia	5	4	3	18	18	15
Slovenia		1	1		1	
Spain					1	
Sweden						
Switzerland						
"The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia"	10	2	2	26	5	10
Turkey	65	52	146	103	65	205
Ukraine	482	210	1	456	237	
United Kingdom	2		1		6	2
Grand Total	1766	753	866	2006	1529	2184


Country-specific information

Albania

Chart 9 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 10 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Andorra

Chart 11 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 12 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Armenia

Chart 13 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 14 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Austria

Chart 15 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 16 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Azerbaijan

Chart 17 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 18 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Belgium

Chart 19 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 20 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Bosnia and Herzegovina

Chart 21 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 22 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Bulgaria

Chart 23 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 24 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Croatia

Chart 25 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 26 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Cyprus

Chart 27 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 28 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Czech Republic

Chart 29 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 30 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Denmark

Chart 31 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 32 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Estonia

Chart 33 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 34 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Finland

Chart 35 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 36 Major procedural steps in processing applications




France

Chart 37 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 38 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Georgia

Chart 39 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 40 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Germany

Chart 41 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 42 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Greece

Chart 43 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 44 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Hungary

Chart 45 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 46 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Iceland

Chart 47 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 48 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Ireland

Chart 49 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 50 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Italy

Chart 51 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 52 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Latvia

Chart 53 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 54 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Liechtenstein

Chart 55 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 56 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Lithuania

Chart 57 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 58 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Luxembourg

Chart 59 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 60 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Malta

Chart 61 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 62 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Republic of Moldova

Chart 63 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 64 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Monaco

Chart 65 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 66 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Montenegro

Chart 67 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 68 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Netherlands

Chart 69 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 70 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Norway

Chart 71 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 72 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Poland

Chart 73 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 74 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Portugal

Chart 75 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 76 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Romania

Chart 77 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 78 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Russian Federation

Chart 79 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 80 Major procedural steps in processing applications




San Marino

Chart 81 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 82 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Serbia

Chart 83 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 84 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Slovakia

Chart 85 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 86 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Slovenia

Chart 87 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 88 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Spain

Chart 89 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 90 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Sweden

Chart 91 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 92 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Switzerland

Chart 93 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 94 Major procedural steps in processing applications




“The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia”

Chart 95 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 96 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Turkey

Chart 97 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 98 Major procedural steps in processing applications




Ukraine

Chart 99 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body




Graph 100 Major procedural steps in processing applications



United Kingdom

Chart 101 The Court’s caseload by stage of proceedings and decision body



Graph 102 Major procedural steps in processing applications

